

people's office. You work at the wage they decide to pay you. You do the jobs they assign you to do, and you may do all the things a CPA is qualified to do, yet you are not qualified to be certified as one until you get through your apprenticeship as an indentured servant for some CPA. Now, with this bill the person who has only passed the exam, because the CPA's want to keep these other qualifications which require you to be the indentured servant without requiring you to wait all that time, you get a certificate saying CPA. When you go to work for this firm as an indentured servant they sent you out and people can get the impression, if they want to, that you're a CPA, and it can be a higher fee for the firm. So until I'm aware of exactly what they want with this bill, not only will I not support it, I'm going to actually vote against it. But what I wish Senator DeCamp would do is bracket it on his own and ask them to answer some of the questions that were raised here today, but if he won't then all I'm going to do at this time is vote against it, then I'm going to put a kill motion on it, and then I think that might draw some of the CPA's out.

SENATOR MARVEL: Senator Burrows, and then Senator Nichol.
Senator Burrows.

SENATOR BURROWS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature. I see no reason whatsoever for the bill if it does simply what Senator DeCamp says it does, to provide a meaningless piece of paper. I have not had time to digest Section 8, it's a new change in language because it's reworded and very carefully worded, and the implications--who may be dropped out, how much rougher the restrictions may be, and I think we're entitled to a little better analysis of the bill. I see no purpose of proceeding with the bill if it does no more than Senator DeCamp claims it does. So I think we ought to oppose the bill and let it drop out. Thank you.

SENATOR MARVEL: Senator Nichol.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator DeCamp, in just trying to understand this a little better, it is true that the part where you do actually qualify to get a CPA permit has been placed later in the statute. Is that right? And the first part is only for a certificate so that the Board of the CPA's, at a later date, may take this, and at their discretion, decide whether or not this person does get a regular CPA permit? Now so far is that correct?

SENATOR DeCAMP: I think it is.

SENATOR NICHOL: I think it is too, Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR MARVEL: Are you asking Senator DeCamp a question?

SENATOR NICHOL: Yes, and his answer was he thought so.

SENATOR MARVEL: Okay.

SENATOR NICHOL: Okay, thank you.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Frank Lewis moves to ask unanimous consent to bracket this until April 19th.

SENATOR MARVEL: April 19th?

CLERK: Yes.